





APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/062,794	01/31/2002	Arthur L. Rosenthal	10177-101	3444
20583 759	90 11/17/2003	EXAMINER		
PENNIE AND		JACKSON, SUZETTE JAMIE		
NEW YORK, N	OF THE AMERICAS NY 100362711	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3738	1-2
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_								
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
•		10/062,79	4	ROSENTHAL ET A	NL.				
· Of	fice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
•	_	Jackson J		3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
•	, NED STATUTORY PERIOD FO	OR REPLY IS SET T	O EXPIRE 3 MONT	H(S) FROM					
THE MAILIN - Extensions of lafter SIX (6) M - If the period fo - If NO period fo - Failure to reply - Any reply rece	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNII ime may be available under the provisions ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm reply specified above is less than thirty (30 reply is specified above, the maximum star within the set or extended period for reply vived by the Office later than three months at lerm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. b) days, a reply within the statututory period will apply and within the statututory period will.	ent, however, may a reply be story minimum of thirty (30) of Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS for ication to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>03 September</u>	<u> 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This	action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is	non-final.						
3) Since	e this application is in condition	n for allowance excep	t for formal matters,	prosecution as to the	e merits is				
Disposition of			<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.					
	(s) <u>1-4,11 and 12</u> is/are pendi				!				
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.								
<i>,</i> —	(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.								
•	(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election r	equirement.						
Application Pa	•	a Evaminar							
-	ecification is objected to by the awing(s) filed on is/are:		objected to by the F	xaminer.					
•									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
	proved, corrected drawings are re			·					
• •	th or declaration is objected to								
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	owledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.□	Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	en received.		•				
2.	The second secon								
	Copies of the certified copies application from the Interrete attached detailed Office action	national Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
	vledgment is made of a claim f				l application).				
a) 🔲 T	he translation of the foreign la	nguage provisional ap	oplication has been	received.	,,				
,—	wledgment is made of a claim	ιοι ασπιεσιία ρεισπιγ ι	muer 55 U.S.C. 99 1	120 aliu/01 121.	•				
Attachment(s)	forences Cited (DTO_802)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413) Paper No	(s).				
2) Notice of Dra	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (f Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			nal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 10/062,794

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shanley 6,562,065. Shanley discloses the invention as claimed noting figure 11 comprising: A medical device (which is a stent 180) with a plurality of struts (88) and a plurality of non-structural elements integral with the struts (182), wherein the struts and the non-structural elements comprise the biologically active material. (See col. 14, lines 39-57).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shanley. Shanley has been disclosed above with regards to claims 1 and 12 however Shanley does not

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/062,794 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

specify the term "hoops" for the shape of the non-structural elements. It is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the "holes" (182) of Shanley can equate to "hoops" because both have a circular shape.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shanley in view of Harish et al. 6,506,437. While Shanley does state the use of biologically active material, Shanley does not specify the drugs. Harish et al. teaches the use of paclitaxel, dexamethasone, and actinomycin (see col. 6, lines 64 and col. 7, lines 5 and 19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the drugs of Harish et al. into the stent of Shanley because they could treat individual dysfunction at the tissue in the vessel site depending upon the individual patients needs.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 9/3/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that the non-structural element features of Shanley do not meet the definition as claimed in the specification. However it is the examiner's opinion that Shanley

Art Unit: 3738

does meet the limitations of the claims. In one embodiment of Shanley the non-structural elements are the holes (182) which are "located along the strut" and contain an agent "184" (see col. 14, lines 51-57). In another embodiments of Shanley the non-structural elements can be considered as the polymer coating and an agent (see col. 14, lines 48-50. The structural elements of Shanley have substantially no effect on the mechanical properties of the struts as defined on page 8 of the specification. Applicant further contends "... can be used to increase the surface area of the stent...." (page 8 of amendment). This intended use recitation/functional language carries no patentabale weight in the absence of any distinguishing structure. Shanley clearly discloses the structure as claimed and is found to be inherently capable of performing the function.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3738

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 703-308-6516.
- 11. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.
- 12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Suzette J. Jackson 05 November 2003

David H. Willse Primary Examiner